## DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**Environmental Assessment** 

## PERMITTING AND COMPLIANCE DIVISION

Water Protection Bureau

Name of Project: The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) will reissue the Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) permit for the Community of West Glendive Wastewater Treatment Plant (West Glendive WWTP) for a five-year cycle. The facility is owned and operated by Dawson County.

**Type of Project**: The existing West Glendive WWTP consists of a two-cell facultative lagoon without disinfection. West Glendive primarily sends its wastewater to the City of Glendive Water Resource and Recovery Facility (City of Glendive WRRF), but maintains this permit in case it needs to discharge treated wastewater directly to the Yellowstone River.

**Location of Project**: West Glendive

City/Town: West Glendive

**County:** Dawson

**Description of Project**: MPDES permit renewal for the existing West Glendive WWTP.

**Agency Action and Applicable Regulations**: The proposed action is to renew the MPDES permit for another five-year cycle.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 2 - Water Quality Permit Application and Annual Fees.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 5 - Mixing Zones in Surface and Ground Water.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 6 - Surface Water Quality Standards.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 7 - Nondegradation of Water Quality.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 12 and 13 - Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Standards.

Montana Water Quality Act, MCA 75-5-101 et. seq.

**Summary of Issues:** Renewal of an existing MPDES permit for the existing West Glendive WWTP.

**Benefits and Purpose of Action:** The permit will ensure compliance with the Montana Water Quality Act and protect beneficial uses of the Yellowstone River.

## Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts). Include frequency, duration (long or short term), magnitude, and context for any significant impacts identified. Reference other permit analyses when appropriate (ex: statement of basis). Address significant impacts related to substantive issues and concerns. Identify reasonable feasible mitigation measures (before and after) where significant impacts cannot be avoided and note any irreversible or irretrievable impacts. Include background information on affected environment if necessary to discussion.

N = Not present or No Impact will likely occur. *Use negative declarations where appropriate* (wetlands, T&E, Cultural Resources).

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT			
RESOURCE   [Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASU			
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY,	[N]		
STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present			
which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to			
compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or			
unstable geologic features? Are there special			
reclamation considerations?			
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND	[N] Additional effluent limits will improve discharge quality and		
DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or	beneficial uses.		
groundwater resources present? Is there potential			
for violation of ambient water quality standards,			
drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or			
degradation of water quality?			
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate	[N]		
be produced? Is the project influenced by air			
quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?			
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND	[N]		
QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be			
significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or			
cover types present?	Dil		
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC	[N]		
LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use			
of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?	[M]		
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:	[N]		
Are any federally listed threatened or endangered			
species or identified habitat present? Any			
wetlands? Species of special concern?			
7. Sage Grouse Executive Order: Is the			
project proposed in core, general or			
connectivity sage grouse habitat, as			
designated by the Sage Grouse Habitat			
Conservation Program at			
https://sagegrouse.mt.gov/? If yes, did the			
applicant attach documentation from the			
Program showing compliance with Executive	[N] DEQ verified that this facility is not within core, general, or		
Order 12-2015 and the Program's	connectivity sage grouse habitat.		
recommendations? If so, attach the	connectivity suge groups maximum		
documentation to the EA and address the			
Program's recommendation in the permit. If			
project is in core, general or connectivity			
habitat and the applicant did not document			
consultation with the Program, refer the			
applicant to the Sage Grouse Habitat			
Conservation Program.			
8. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL	[N]		
SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or			
paleontological resources present?			

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
9. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent	[N]	
topographic feature? Will it be visible from		
populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive		
noise or light?		
10. LAND USE: (waste disposal, agricultural	[N]	
lands [grazing, cropland, forest lands, prime		
farmland], recreational lands [waterways, parks,		
playgrounds, open space, federal lands), access,		
commercial and industrial facilities [production &		
activity, growth or decline], growth, land-use		
change, development activity)		
11. IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]	
RESOURCES: Are there other activities nearby		
that will affect the project?		

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
12. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this	[N]Additional effluent limits will protect public health.	
project add to health and safety risks in the area?		
13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND	[N]	
AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND		
PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter		
these activities?		
14. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N]	
EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or		
eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.		
15. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX	[N]	
REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate		
tax revenue?		
16. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:	[N]	
Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads?		
Will other services (fire protection, police, schools,		
etc.) be needed?		
17. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]	
PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County,		
City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or		
management plans in effect?		
18. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF	[N]	
RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS		
ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas		
nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there		
recreational potential within the tract?	DII	
19. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N]	
POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project		
add to the population and require additional		
housing?	DI	
20. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is	[N]	
some disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or		
communities possible?	[NI]	
21. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in some	[N]	
unique quality of the area?		

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
22. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND	[N]	
ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:		
23(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are we	[N]	
regulating the use of private property under a		
regulatory statute adopted pursuant to the police		
power of the state? (Property management, grants		
of financial assistance, and the exercise of the		
power of eminent domain are not within this		
category.) If not, no further analysis is required.		
23(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is the	[N]	
agency proposing to deny the application or		
condition the approval in a way that restricts the		
use of the regulated person's private property? If		
not, no further analysis is required.		
23(c). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If the	[N]	
answer to 21(b) is affirmative, does the agency		
have legal discretion to impose or not impose the		
proposed restriction or discretion as to how the		
restriction will be imposed? If not, no further		
analysis is required. If so, the agency must		
determine if there are alternatives that would		
reduce, minimize or eliminate the restriction on the		
use of private property, and analyze such		
alternatives. The agency must disclose the		
potential costs of identified restrictions.		

- 24. **Description of and Impacts of other Alternatives Considered:** None
- 25. Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impact: None
- 26. **Cumulative Effects:** None
- 27. **Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale:** The preferred action is to reissue the MPDES permit. This action is preferred because the permit program provides the regulatory mechanism for protecting water quality by enforcing the terms of the MPDES permit.

## **Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:**

[ ] EIS	[ ] More Detailed EA	[x] No Further Analysis
---------	----------------------	-------------------------

**Rationale for Recommendation:** An EIS is not required under the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA) because the project lacks significant adverse effects to the human and physical environment. All of the anticipated effects to the physical and human environment will be mitigated or eliminated during project implementation.

- 28. **Public Involvement:** A 30-day public comment period will be held.
- 29. Persons and agencies consulted in the preparation of this analysis: None

Approved by:	
Draft	<u></u>
Jon Kenning, Chief	Date
Water Protection Bureau	